

OPERATION SOVEREIGN BORDER

The Sovereign Border Corridor
and Border Integrity Proposal

PREPARED BY	Krissy Hall · BORN BETWEEN GENERALS, LLC · BB2G Solutions
FOR	The President of the United States
DATE	August 30, 2026
CLASSIFICATION	Unclassified — public policy proposal

Contents

1. The proposition in one paragraph	2
2. Why both borders, and why now	2
3. The precedent already exists — on both borders	3
4. The corridor: four tiers, half a mile deep	4
5. Legal pathway, and the constraints that are real	6
6. The Integrity Architecture — the half nobody else is proposing	7
7. Phasing	10
8. What is being asked	11
9. Statement of limits	11
Sources	11

1. The proposition in one paragraph

The United States does not have a border problem on one border. It has a **custody problem on two borders**. Land, sensors, and personnel along both the northern and southern boundaries sit under a patchwork of ownership so fragmented that the federal government cannot always stand on its own line, cannot always verify its own equipment, and cannot always protect its own officers from being bought or blackmailed. This proposal asks for two things at once: **a continuous federally held corridor along both international land borders, and a verifiable integrity architecture running on top of it** — so that every custody event, every device, every model, and every officer under duress is provable rather than merely asserted. Securing the line is a land question. Keeping it secure is an evidence question. This proposal treats them as one program.

2. Why both borders, and why now

The southern land border runs approximately **1,954 land miles**; the U.S.–Canada boundary excluding Alaska runs approximately **3,987 miles**, with roughly **1,538 additional miles** along Alaska ([Congressional Research Service via EveryCRSReport¹](#)). The southern border remains, in the government's own words, "the principal corridor for the illicit drugs posing the gravest threat to American lives" ([National Drug Control Strategy 2026, The White House²](#)).

The northern border is not quiet, it is *thin*. Canadian federal figures show northern-border fentanyl seizures rising from **14.1 kg in FY2022 to 2.5 kg in FY2023 to 43.0 kg in FY2024 to 76.9 kg** in the following period ([Public Safety Canada parliamentary briefing materials³](#)). Border Patrol leadership has described narcotics trafficking from Canada as a "persistent threat" even while cautioning against overstatement of record volumes ([CBC⁴](#)). A boundary twice the length of the southern border, with a fraction of the infrastructure, is a standing invitation — and the honest framing is *pre-emptive*, not reactive. We are proposing to close a gap before it is exploited, not after.

The second threat is internal, and it is the one nobody wants to name.

People on the line can be bought. Government's own record establishes this. A CBP retrospective study examined **173 cases** in which employees were convicted or entered guilty pleas for corruption, and found corrupt employees comprised roughly **one quarter of one percent of the workforce** — small as a percentage, decisive in effect ([CBP, Corruption in CBP: A Retrospective Study of Cases⁵](#)). GAO reached a comparable finding, noting arrests of CBP employees for corruption-related activity accounted for less than one percent of the workforce per fiscal year, while recommending additional action to mitigate the risk ([GAO-13-59⁶](#)). CBP itself states plainly that "like all border agencies in the world, CBP remains vulnerable to the potential for corruption and misconduct within its workforce" ([CBP FY2022 Report on Internal Investigations and Employee Accountability⁷](#)). Reporting on immigration enforcement personnel more broadly has documented at least two dozen employees and contractors charged

with crimes since 2020, including corruption ([Associated Press](#)⁸).

One officer with a compromised badge can move people, narcotics, weapons, or anything else through a lane that costs billions to watch. A single corrupted custody point defeats an entire sector.

And the third threat is the reason this proposal exists at all: some of those people are not criminals. They are hostages.

Coercion does not announce itself. A transnational criminal organization that can locate an officer's home, an officer's school-age child, or an officer's parents in another country does not need to offer a bribe — it needs only to make a threat credible once. Some personnel on both borders are almost certainly under pressure right now, and under the current architecture there is **no safe way for them to say so**. Reporting coercion means reporting it up a chain that may itself be compromised, with no protection for the family that is being threatened. So they stay silent, and the compromise continues, and the government treats a coerced person as an offender rather than as a source who needs extraction.

That is a design failure, and it is fixable. This proposal contains a **Duress Door** (Section 6) and family-protection tiers (Sections 7–8) built specifically for the officer who wants out and needs someone to guarantee their child's safety first.

3. The precedent already exists — on both borders

This is not a novel legal theory. It is the completion of something two presidential proclamations started **119 years ago**.

Southern border, 1907. President Theodore Roosevelt reserved a **60-foot-wide** strip of public land along the U.S. side of the Mexican boundary in California, Arizona, and New Mexico, to keep public lands "free from obstruction as a protection against the smuggling of goods" — the Roosevelt Reservation, Proclamation of May 27, 1907, **35 Stat. 2136** ([Roosevelt Reservation](#)⁹).

Northern border, 1908 — and this is the fact most people do not know. On **June 15, 1908**, Roosevelt issued a companion proclamation declaring that "all unpatented public lands of the United States, lying within sixty feet of the boundary line" between the United States and the Dominion of Canada were "set apart as a public reservation," reasoning that customs and immigration laws could be better enforced "when the Federal Government had complete control over the use and occupation of lands abutting international boundary lines," and providing that such lands "shall not be subject at any time to any other claim, use, or occupation, except for public highways" — **35 Stat. 2189** ([Proclamations, 1908, GovInfo](#)¹⁰).

The doctrine is already on the books for both borders. Only the southern half was ever operationalized, and only at 60 feet.

That 60 feet has already proven decisive in practice. Courts have relied on the 1907 reservation to permit border infrastructure construction without altering tribal boundaries ([Courthouse News](#)¹¹), and the reservation reduced the need to acquire private property for barrier

construction in California, Arizona, and New Mexico ([Roosevelt Reservation](#)⁹).

Recent precedent shows the mechanism still works at scale. In 2025, the Interior Department executed an emergency withdrawal and transferred administrative jurisdiction over approximately **109,651 acres** of federal land along the U.S.–Mexico border in New Mexico to the Army ([Immigration Policy Tracking Project](#)¹²). More than 100,000 acres were designated as "national defense areas" ([Christian Science Monitor](#)¹³), with a subsequent zone spanning roughly **250 miles** of the Rio Grande administered as an extension of Joint Base San Antonio ([Military Times](#)¹⁴). Those designations rest on National Security Presidential Memorandum NSPM-4 of April 11, 2025, implementing **Executive Order 14167**, with trespass and removal authority under **50 U.S.C. § 797** and **18 U.S.C. § 1382** ([National Defense Area](#)¹⁵).

What is missing is width, continuity, the northern border, and integrity. This proposal supplies all four.

4. The corridor: four tiers, half a mile deep

Sixty feet is a survey line. It is not defensible ground and it cannot hold an installation. The corridor below is designed so that the aggressive posture falls on land the government can actually get, and the broad posture uses instruments that do not require dispossessing American families.

Tier I – Federal Enforcement Corridor • 660 feet (½ mile) • fee simple

Continuous federal ownership along **both** borders. This is the patrol road, the barrier, the sensor line, the power and fiber spine, and the legal ground on which federal officers stand without asking anyone's permission. It extends the 1907 and 1908 reservations from 60 feet to 660 feet and from unpatented public land to all land, with compensation.

Tier II – National Security Setback • out to ½ mile total depth • perpetual security easement

The remaining 1,980 feet is acquired as an **easement, not a taking of title**. The landowner keeps the deed, keeps the ranch, keeps agricultural use and tax treatment, and is **paid**. What the easement buys is: no new permanent structures, no subsurface excavation or tunneling, no unattested wireless transmitters or private sensor networks, no aviation or drone launch, and a federal right of entry for patrol, sensing, and maintenance. This is the layer that makes the corridor half a mile deep without making a half-mile-wide seizure.

Tier III – Corridor Operating Nodes • ~640 acres each • approximately every 50 miles

Forward operating sites — barracks, vehicle and aviation support, detention processing, sensor operations, evidence custody, and a hardened Sealed Enclave Unit. Sited on existing federal land wherever available; acquired by purchase or exchange otherwise. This is what turns a fence line into a **manned perimeter**, and it is the part that makes officers on the line reachable, relievable, and protectable.

Tier IV — Reserved Depth • up to 1 mile • designation only

Authority to extend to one mile **only** on a specific written finding of terrain or threat necessity, time-limited, published, and subject to review. Reserved for choke points: river bends, tunnel-prone urban interfaces, canyon systems, and known high-volume corridors. Held in reserve rather than exercised by default, because a blanket one-mile taking across 7,479 miles would collapse under its own litigation before a single foot was patrolled.

Scale, stated honestly

Segment	Length	Tier I (660 ft)	Tier II band (1,980 ft)	Total ½-mile corridor
Southern border	1,954 mi	156,320 acres	468,960 acres	625,280 acres
Northern border (lower 48)	3,987 mi	318,960 acres	956,880 acres	1,275,840 acres
Alaska–Canada	1,538 mi	123,040 acres	369,120 acres	492,160 acres
Total	7,479 mi	598,320 acres	1,794,960 acres	2,393,280 acres

Tier III adds roughly **150 nodes at 640 acres**, about **96,000 acres**.

For scale: the full half-mile corridor excluding Alaska is about **2,970 square miles** — roughly the combined area of Delaware and Rhode Island. This is a large undertaking and the document says so plainly. Tiering exists precisely so that only 598,320 acres require fee acquisition, roughly a third of the southern-border portion of which is **already federal or tribal land** — 632 miles, about one-third of that border ([Roosevelt Reservation](#)⁹).

Cost: ranges, not invented precision

USDA reports 2025 U.S. farm real estate averaging **\$4,350 per acre**, cropland **\$5,830**, and pastureland **\$1,920** ([USDA NASS, Land Values 2025 Summary](#)¹⁶). Much border land is rangeland and desert, valued below the national average; some is high-value irrigated or peri-urban land far above it. GAO found single-layer pedestrian fencing could cost approximately **\$6.5 million per mile**, before roads and maintenance ([American Immigration Council summary of GAO](#)¹⁷).

Any figure beyond order-of-magnitude here would be fiction. The proposal therefore requests **USACE appraisal and CBO scoring as a first-year deliverable**, and states the honest expectation: land is the *smaller* line item. Roads, power, fiber, towers, sensors, nodes, and above all **personnel** dominate the cost.

5. Legal pathway, and the constraints that are real

Authorities available immediately

- **8 U.S.C. § 1103(b)** — authority to "contract for or buy any interest in land, including temporary use rights, adjacent to or in the vicinity of an international land border" deemed "essential to control and guard the boundaries and borders of the United States," with condemnation under **40 U.S.C. § 3113** where a reasonable price cannot be agreed ([Cornell LII](#)¹⁸). Note for counsel: the section is codified as an Attorney General authority; the operational exercise by DHS following the Homeland Security Act transfer should be confirmed in writing before reliance at scale.
- **Presidential proclamation** extending the 1907 and 1908 reservations across all federal land on both borders — effective immediately for public land, and legally clean because it repeats what has already been done twice.
- **FLPMA § 204 (43 U.S.C. § 1714)** withdrawals and jurisdiction transfers, following the 2025 New Mexico model.
- **IIRIRA § 102** barrier authority and the existing statutory mandate for "not less than 700 miles" of reinforced fencing on the southwest border with "additional physical barriers, roads, lighting, cameras, and sensors" ([Cornell LII notes](#)¹⁸).

What requires Congress

A proclamation **cannot take private land**. The 1908 proclamation reached only "unpatented public lands." Tier I fee acquisition, Tier II easements, Tier III nodes, and the entire Integrity Title require a statute. The proposal names it the **Sovereign Border Corridor and Border Integrity Act**, with five titles: Corridor, Compensation, Nodes, Integrity, and Personnel Protection.

Constraints the proposal accepts up front

Presenting this without the following would be dishonest, and dishonesty is what the program is designed to eliminate.

1. **Fifth Amendment just compensation is not optional.** The only defense a landowner has against federal condemnation is lack of authority; compensation itself is constitutionally guaranteed. The Compensation Title should therefore be *generous and fast* — above-appraisal premiums, relocation support, and a statutory 90-day payment clock — because slow, litigated, under-market takings are what stalled prior efforts. In Texas roughly **95 percent of land is privately owned**, and a prior administration secured only about **16 percent** of the private Texas land it needed for barrier construction ([Forum](#)¹⁹; [Washington Post](#)²⁰). GAO documented the government working to acquire about **5,275 acres** of private land, mostly in south Texas, having acquired 135 tracts with 991 more sought as of July 2020 ([GAO-21-114](#)²¹). **Compensation policy, not condemnation power, is the**

binding constraint.

2. **Tribal sovereignty is a consent question, not an obstacle to be waived.** The Tohono O'odham Nation holds approximately **62 miles** of international border ([Tohono O'odham Nation](#)²²). The corridor should reach tribal lands only through negotiated co-management agreements with tribal law enforcement as the operating authority, funded federally. Forcing it produces litigation, moral injury, and a hostile 62-mile gap.
3. **Treaty limits bind both borders.** The International Boundary and Water Commission governs the southern boundary under treaty; the International Boundary Commission maintains the cleared boundary vista on the northern boundary under the 1925 treaty ([Pennsylvania Society of Land Surveyors](#)²³). Corridor works must be coordinated with both, particularly where the boundary is a river.
4. **Posse Comitatus and the civil-military line.** The 2025 national defense areas rest on emergency authority ([National Defense Area](#)¹⁵). A permanent corridor should be **civilian-led** — a Federal Border Corridor Authority with DoD in support — so it survives changes of administration rather than expiring with an emergency declaration.
5. **Environmental and community review should be expedited, not waived.** Waiver authority invites the litigation it tries to avoid. A statutory 180-day consolidated review is faster in practice than a waiver fought for three years.

6. The Integrity Architecture — the half nobody else is proposing

You cannot secure what you cannot verify, and you cannot verify a line staffed by people who can be bought or blackmailed. A corridor without this section is a very expensive fence with the same single points of human failure. This is the layer BB2G Solutions has already built, across twelve surfaces.

Sovereign Land — Layer 1

The corridor itself: Tiers I–IV, one continuous federal custody boundary on both borders.

Sovereign Hardware — Layer 2

Sovereign Circuit and the **Dual Attestation Protocol** ([kristenslab/sovereign-circuit](#)): no camera, radio, tower, gate controller, or sensor joins the corridor network without hardware provenance and dual attestation. Supply-chain compromise of border sensing is a national security event; unattested devices are refused rather than trusted. The **Sealed Enclave Unit** ([StrongRoomSE/sealed-enclave-unit](#)) supplies the tiered-isolation pattern at each node: sealed intervention ceremony, generator continuity, deception layer, and forensic lab.

Sovereign Networks — Layer 3

SENTINEL (live at cybersentinel.bornbetween2generals.com) contributes the **Sovereign Packet Layer** — every outbound transfer receives an explicit ALLOW, INSPECT, or BLOCK decision — plus **Cyber Caller ID**, which screens every inbound connection in a sandbox, requires a valid typed token by class (person, business, government, domestic, international), makes unknown callers leave a message, and rotates token classes the instant a forgery or breach appears. Doctrine: **track-back, never hack-back**. Evidence is packaged for authorized investigators; the platform never retaliates.

Sovereign AI — Layer 4

Sovereign Control Tower (sovereign.bornbetween2generals.com): a deterministic **Guardian** router with model admission, provenance registry, jurisdiction and classification routing, health signals, air-gapped import via Airlock, egress gating via Perimeter, quarantine, audit chain, and recovery. Its governing rule is the one federal AI deployment most needs: **observed evidence and asserted configuration are never displayed as the same thing**, and every routing refusal names the rule that blocked it. No black-box model gets a vote on a border decision. **Intelligence Workbench** adds hash-chained project audit and NIST AI RMF governance gates.

Sovereign Infrastructure Protection — Layer 5

Guardian Sphere / VigilantGuard ([kristenslab/vigilantguard](https://kristenslab.com/vigilantguard)): sovereign, deception-based infrastructure protection. Corridor power, water, comms, and sensor infrastructure are defended by deception layers that detect and document intrusion, with the same non-retaliatory evidence boundary.

Sovereign Evidence — Layer 6

ProofCore ([kristenslab/proofcore](https://kristenslab.com/proofcore)), the evidence, decision, and institutional-memory spine, with **StrongRoom** and **LockChain** cryptographic custody: append-only Merkle commitments, k-of-N guardian thresholds on **adversarially independent** infrastructure, registered witnesses counter-signing signed tree heads so that **equivocation becomes visible**, dual-control sealing requiring two distinct officers, and hash-sealed evidence packs. Applied to the corridor, every custody event — apprehension, seizure, transfer, chain-of-evidence handoff, gate opening — becomes tamper-evident. A prosecutor gets a sealed pack. A defense attorney gets a verifiable one. An internal-affairs investigator gets a record that a compromised supervisor cannot quietly edit.

Sovereign Elections — Layer 7

BB2G Election Command, Ballot Trail / MBLTS, and **Provable Custody**: the same custody doctrine, already built and running for mail-ballot chain of custody, where paper stays authoritative and the software produces tamper-evident procedural records without ever revealing how anyone voted. This matters here as **proof of doctrine**: the custody model being

proposed for the border is already implemented in the most adversarial, most scrutinized administrative environment in the country.

Sovereign People — Layer 8 • and the Duress Door

Personnel integrity, designed for coercion rather than pretending it away:

- **Dual control** on every consequential action. No single badge moves anything alone.
- **Rotation and independent witness attestation** at checkpoints, so a bought lane cannot become a standing lane.
- **The Duress Door** — a protected reporting channel that does **not** run up the local chain of command, cannot be observed by a compromised supervisor, and carries a statutory pathway: an officer who self-reports coercion before an interdiction is treated as a **protected witness with family protection attached**, not as a defendant. **Coercion research from CODEBREAKER** (codebreakers.bornbetween2generals.com) supplies the evidence-first understanding of how coercive control actually operates on a person over time.
- **Recruitment and aptitude pipeline** through the Two Generals Intelligence Lab (asvab.bornbetween2generals.com), so the corridor is staffed rather than perpetually short.

This is the human heart of the proposal. Many people on both lines are doing this job honestly under threat. **Some are in trouble right now and need a door.** Build them one.

Sovereign Children — Layer 9

A child's safety data belongs to the child's family, not to a platform, a vendor, or a database. **Safe Perimeter** (safeperimeter.bornbetween2generals.com) is the specification: the supervised person already wears an active court-ordered monitor; the child carries a **receive-only** ranging module in the shoe sole with a wristband that delivers the felt alert; fixed mains-powered anchors at schools, playgrounds, daycares, pools, and libraries confirm the enforceable distance. **The child's side never transmits**, because a transmitting child device announces the child's position. Rotating identifiers and coordinated address rotation prevent observations from becoming a reusable movement history, and identity disclosure remains a separate authorized act. **Perimeter Family** and the **CODEBREAKER children's track** — ten protective lessons that route children to trusted adults — complete the layer. Applied to this program: the children of border personnel under threat, and the children moved through the corridor by traffickers, are covered by an architecture that protects them **without building a national child-tracking database**.

Sovereign Families — Layer 10

Angel Post (angelpost.bornbetween2generals.com) and the Operation Angels architecture: a national family-support network that **moves resources to families while children remain at home**. Applied here, it becomes the protection tier that makes the Duress Door usable — an officer who reports coercion needs their family relocated, supported, and safe *before* the

interdiction, not after. The **Digital Resilience Lab** (resiliencelab.bornbetween2generals.com) supplies the training curriculum for personnel and their households on the digital exposure that makes families targetable in the first place.

Sovereign Build — Layer 11

Secure Build Lab (StrongRoomSE/secure-build-lab): corridor software is developed local-first, with four visibly distinct operating modes — Local Device, U.S. Sovereign Cloud, External Cloud, Sandbox — local model execution, encrypted local storage, metadata-only activity logging, and explicit outbound disclosure. Border systems should not be built in an environment where the build itself leaks.

Sovereign Record — Layer 12

FOIA Console, Public Record Ledger, and Follow the Data: the public accountability surface. Null findings stay published beside confirming findings; conflicting figures stay labeled rather than harmonized into a cleaner unsupported answer. A program of this magnitude earns public consent only if its own record is auditable — including the parts that did not work.

7. Phasing

Phase	Window	Deliverables
0 — Authority	Days 1–90	Proclamation extending the 1907 and 1908 reservations to all federal land on both borders; DOJ/DHS opinion confirming § 1103(b) exercise; USACE appraisal tasking; CBO scoring request; draft Act transmitted to Congress.
1 — Proof sector	Months 3–15	One 50-mile southern sector and one 50-mile northern sector built to full Tier I–III specification with the complete Integrity Architecture. Publish measured results, including failures.
2 — Integrity first	Months 6–24	Duress Door, dual control, witness attestation, hash-chained custody, and family protection deployed service-wide ahead of construction , because integrity is cheaper and faster than concrete and produces results in year one.
3 — Corridor build-out	Years 2–8	Sequenced by threat density: southern high-volume sectors, then northern lower-48, then Alaska. Tier II easements negotiated ahead of Tier I acquisition in each sector.
4 — Standing authority	Year 3 onward	Federal Border Corridor Authority operating on permanent civilian statutory footing, with DoD in support and published annual integrity metrics.

Phase 2 is the one to start first. It costs the least, needs no land, and saves people who are currently trapped.

8. What is being asked

1. **Sign a proclamation** extending the 1907 and 1908 boundary reservations to all federal land along both international land borders, widened from 60 feet to 660 feet.
 2. **Transmit the Sovereign Border Corridor and Border Integrity Act** to Congress, with Corridor, Compensation, Nodes, Integrity, and Personnel Protection titles.
 3. **Direct DHS to stand up the Duress Door and the family-protection tier within 90 days**, under existing authority, without waiting for the land program.
 4. **Authorize two 50-mile proof sectors** — one north, one south — built to full specification, and publish the measured results.
 5. **Receive a briefing** on the twelve-layer Sovereign architecture, which exists now, in working code, built by an American small business.
-

9. Statement of limits

This document is a policy proposal by a private citizen and a small business. It is not a legal opinion, an appropriation estimate, or a certified engineering plan. Acreage figures are computed geometrically from published border lengths and stated corridor widths. Cost figures are order-of-magnitude and require USACE appraisal and CBO scoring. The referenced BB2G systems are working engineering products at varying readiness levels; several are explicitly specifications or unaudited work product rather than certified operational systems, and each carries a published limits statement. Nothing here should be presented as an independent security review, and independent review by outside counsel and cryptographers remains a stated production gate for the custody components.

The program is proposed in that spirit. **A border you can prove is worth more than a border you can only claim.**

BORN BETWEEN GENERALS, LLC · BB2G Solutions · Operation Angels · Brandon, Florida

Sources

All citations below appear as live hyperlinks in the body text; full URLs are reproduced here for the printed record.

1. Congressional Research Service via EveryCRSReport — <https://www.everycrsreport.com/reports/RS21729.html>
2. National Drug Control Strategy 2026, The White House — <https://www.whitehouse.gov/wp-content/uploads/2026/05/National-Drug-Control-Strategy-2026-1.pdf>
3. Public Safety Canada parliamentary briefing materials — <https://www.publicsafety.gc.ca/cnt/trnsprnc/brfng-mtrls/prlmntry-bndrs/20260220/index-en.aspx?wbdisable>
4. CBC — <https://www.cbc.ca/news/world/us-canada-threat-northern-border-9.7254664>
5. CBP, Corruption in CBP: A Retrospective Study of Cases — https://borderoversight.org/files/2023-08-30_cbp_corruption.pdf

6. GAO-13-59 — <https://www.gao.gov/products/gao-13-59>
7. CBP FY2022 Report on Internal Investigations and Employee Accountability — <https://www.cbp.gov/document/report/report-internal-investigations-and-employee-accountability-fy2022>
8. Associated Press — <https://www.ap.org/news-highlights/spotlights/2026/several-ice-agents-were-arrested-in-recent-months-showing-risk-of-misconduct/>
9. Roosevelt Reservation — https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Roosevelt_Reservation
10. Proclamations, 1908, GovInfo — <https://www.govinfo.gov/content/pkg/STATUTE-35/pdf/STATUTE-35-Pg2189.pdf>
11. Courthouse News — <https://www.courthousenews.com/federal-judge-denies-tohono-oodham-nation-bid-to-block-border-construction/>
12. Immigration Policy Tracking Project — <https://immpolicytracking.org/policies/federal-lands-along-the-border-are-transferred-to-the-army/>
13. Christian Science Monitor — <https://www.csmonitor.com/USA/Military/2025/0517/military-southern-border-immigration-trump>
14. Military Times — <https://www.militarytimes.com/news/your-military/2025/06/30/air-force-to-manage-new-militarized-zone-along-us-mexico-border/>
15. National Defense Area — https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/National_Defense_Area
16. USDA NASS, Land Values 2025 Summary — https://www.nass.usda.gov/Publications/Highlights/2025/2025LandValuesCashRents_FINAL.pdf
17. American Immigration Council summary of GAO — <https://www.americanimmigrationcouncil.org/fact-sheet/cost-of-border-wall/>
18. Cornell LII — <https://www.law.cornell.edu/uscode/text/8/1103>
19. Forum — <https://forumtogether.org/article/eminent-domain-along-the-southern-border-government-seizures-of-private-property/>
20. Washington Post — https://www.washingtonpost.com/immigration/trump-administration-has-acquired-little-of-the-private-land-in-texas-it-needs-for-border-barrier/2019/10/26/d43c617e-f4f2-11e9-8cf0-4cc99f74d127_story.html
21. GAO-21-114 — <https://www.gao.gov/products/gao-21-114>
22. Tohono O'odham Nation — <https://www.tonation-nsn.gov/nowall/>
23. Pennsylvania Society of Land Surveyors — <https://www.psls.org/post/border-vista-boundary-vista-survey-evidence>